Fluid–Rock Interaction in the Miocene, Post-Caldera, Tejeda Intrusive Complex, Gran Canaria (Canary Islands): Insights from Mineralogy, and O- and H-Isotope Geochemistry
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The intra-caldera volcaniclastic deposits of the Miocene Tejeda caldera on Gran Canaria host an ~12 km diameter intrusive complex comprising a peralkaline, trachytic to phonolitic cone sheet swarm surrounding a central core of hypabyssal syenite stocks. Both intrusive rock types display textural and mineralogical features indicative of secondary fluid–rock interaction, including (1) deuteric mineral phases (e.g. aegirine, alkali-amphibole, analcime), (2) turbid alkali feldspars, and (3) hydrothermal mineral phases (phyllosilicates, Fe–Ti oxides, Mn-oxides, and quartz). Altered cone sheets have whole-rock $\delta^{18}$O values ranging from 0.1 to 10.0‰ ($n = 22$), and whole-rock $\delta D$ values between −62 and −149‰ ($n = 28$). Three altered syenite samples have whole-rock $\delta^{18}$O values of 2.5, 1.5, and 0.9‰, and corresponding $\delta D$ values of −91, −95, and −121‰. The $H_2O$ concentrations of the altered cone sheets range from 0.4 to 0.8 wt% ($n = 28$), and the altered syenites have $H_2O$ concentrations of 0.5, 0.5, and 0.6 wt%, respectively. The majority of altered samples are depleted in $^{18}$O relative to the typical $\delta^{18}$O range for unaltered trachytes and syenites ($\delta^{18}$O = 6–8‰), indicative of interaction with local meteoric water ($\delta^{18}$O c. −8‰) at temperatures ≥150°C. Only one cone sheet sample appears petrographically unaltered and has a typical ‘igneous’ isotopic composition ($\delta^{18}$O = 7.1‰, $\delta D = −46$‰) and a relatively high $H_2O$ concentration (2.2 wt %). A weak correlation ($r = 0.55$) between $\delta D$ and $H_2O$ is observed in the cone sheets, reflecting the combined effects of magmatic $H_2O$ exsolution, and subsequent deuteric and hydrothermal alteration. No systematic variation in $\delta^{18}$O or $\delta D$ was detected across the cone sheet swarm, most likely reflecting overprinting of isotopic compositions during successive intrusive events. However, the highest $\delta^{18}$O values (8.2–10.0‰) occur in clay-bearing cone sheets from the central part of the intrusive complex, suggesting enhanced infiltration of relatively cool meteoric water in this area. Overall, at least three phases of fluid–rock interaction can be distinguished: (1) deuteric alteration (c. 300–500°C) by late magmatic fluids expelled from a solidifying crystal mush; (2) hydrothermal alteration (>150–300°C) by meteoric water during the final stages of crystallization and/or immediately following solidification of the intrusive complex; (3) retrograde alteration related to the influx of relatively cool (<150°C) meteoric waters.
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INTRODUCTION

Plutonic and hypabyssal intrusive rocks typically form the subvolcanic cores, or ‘root zones’, of overlying volcanic centres. These intrusive rocks may take various geometric forms, including sills, central intrusions, ring dykes, swarms of sub-vertical sub-parallel dykes, sub-vertical
radial dykes, or inward-dipping concentric intrusions with a common focus (cone sheets), and may become exposed after uplift and subsequent erosion of overlying formations (e.g. Mc Birney, 1993). During emplacement and cooling, crystals that grow in the intrusive bodies may interact with magmatic–hydrothermal (deuteretic) volatiles (e.g. \(\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{HCl}, \text{H}_2\text{S}, \text{CO}_2, \text{CH}_4, \text{SO}_2\)) that have exsolved during the final stages of crystallization (e.g. Burnham & Ohmoto, 1980; Burnham, 1997). If the intrusions are emplaced into relatively permeable country rocks at shallow levels (~2–6 km), they may also be susceptible to the influx of heated meteoric groundwaters during and after solidification (e.g. Taylor, 1968, 1977; Taylor & Forester, 1970; Criss & Taylor, 1986). Such fluid–rock interactions often result in distinctive textural, mineralogical, and isotopic (particularly O and H) changes within the intrusive bodies and their surrounding country rocks, reflecting the characteristic fluid sources, compositions, temperatures, and flow paths (e.g. Taylor, 1997).

Petrological and stable isotope studies have been conducted on numerous epizonal intrusive complexes affected by fluid–rock interaction. Among the most well-documented examples are the Tertiary intrusive complexes of the Inner Hebrides, NW Scotland (e.g. Forester & Taylor, 1985), and the layered mafic intrusions of East Greenland (e.g. Taylor & Epstein, 1963; Fehlhaber & Bird, 1991; Brandriss et al., 1995). Most notably, the above studies all highlighted the occurrence of intrusive rocks with abnormally low \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) values (down to \(-7\%_{\text{o}}\)) compared with normal igneous rocks (\(\delta^{18}\text{O} = 6–8\%_{\text{o}}\); e.g. Taylor, 1968), providing unequivocal evidence for oxygen isotope exchange with heated, \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\)-depleted meteoric waters. Hydrothermal alteration is largely thought to take place following solidification, when the intrusive rocks are cool enough to fracture and allow infiltration of surrounding hydrothermal fluids (e.g. Criss & Taylor, 1986; Larson & Taylor, 1986a).

In the Canary Archipelago, hydrothermal and metamorphic processes involving meteoric water have been reported for the intrusive rocks of Fuerteventura, Tenerife, La Gomera, and La Palma (e.g. Javoy & Schiffman, 1986; Schiffman & Staudigel, 1994, 1995; Wolff et al., 2000; Rodríguez Losada & Martínez Frias, 2004; Holloway et al., 2008). On Gran Canaria, epithermal alteration associated with the marginal volcanic rocks of the Miocene Tejeda caldera has been described by Schmincke (1998), Pérez Torrado et al. (2004), Cabrera Santana et al. (2006) and Donoghue et al. (2008), providing evidence for the development of a large-scale, intrusion-related, meteoric–hydrothermal system during caldera volcanism. As yet, however, the hydrothermal system and associated alteration of the post-caldera Tejeda Intrusive Complex has not been examined in detail. In this study, we describe (1) the mineralogical and isotopic changes brought about by fluid–rock interaction in the Tejeda Intrusive Complex, (2) the main fluid source(s) and flow pathways, (3) the thermal evolution of the hydrothermal system, (4) the influence of multiple intrusions and caldera resurgence on the intensity of alteration, and the corresponding isotopic composition of the intrusive rocks, and (5) the spatial and temporal relationship between the epithermal and intrusive hydrothermal systems within the Tejeda caldera.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Canary Archipelago is a NE–SW-trending alignment of seven volcanic ocean islands located 100–700 km off the NW African coast (Fig. 1), believed to originate from a hotspot beneath the passive margin of the African continent (e.g. Hoernle & Schmincke, 1993; Carracedo et al., 1998). Gran Canaria (28°00’N, 15°35’W), one of the central islands of the archipelago, comprises a Miocene basaltic shield (~15–14 Ma), overlain by an up to 1000 m thick succession of felsic extrusive rocks, which form the outflow facies of the 20 km diameter, multiply reactivated Tejeda caldera in the centre of the island (e.g. Schmincke, 1982; Schirnick et al., 1999; Troll et al., 2002). The felsic eruptive rocks comprise a lower succession of ~20 subalkaline to peralkaline trachyte and rhyolite ignimbrites (Mogán Group, 14–13.3 Ma), and an overlying series of ~20 trachy-phonolite ignimbrites and lava flows (Fataga Group, 12.4–8.5 Ma) (e.g. van den Bogaard & Schmincke, 1998). A well-exposed outer caldera margin separates the extra-caldera shield lavas and overlying felsic ignimbrites from intra-caldera sediments, ignimbrites, and intrusive rocks (e.g. Schmincke, 1967). Correlation of intra-caldera ignimbrites with the extra-caldera ignimbrite succession suggests subsidence of the caldera basin of at least 1 km (Schmincke & Swanson, 1966; Schmincke, 1982; Troll et al., 2002). Following Miocene volcanic activity, there was a major volcanic hiatus between ~8 and 5 Ma. Volcanic activity resumed with the eruption of lavas, tuffs and breccias of the Pliocene Roque Nublo Group (~3.5 Ma), followed by a major phase of dominantly nepheline volcano-plinian activity (Post-Roque Nublo Group; <3 Ma) (e.g. van den Bogaard & Schmincke, 1998).

**THE TEJEDA INTRUSIVE COMPLEX**

The ~12 km diameter Tejeda Intrusive Complex (12.3–7.3 Ma; Schirnick et al., 1999) is centred in the western part of the Tejeda caldera, and comprises a central core of hypabyssal syenite stocks, surrounded by three concentric zones of both high- and low-density cone sheet intrusions: (1) a 2–3 km wide Annular Low Density Zone (ALDZ)
containing <20% cone sheets; (2) a 2.5–4 km diameter Annular High Density Zone (AHDZ) with 50–100% cone sheets; (3) a 4 km diameter Central Low Density Zone (CLDZ) comprising intra-caldera breccias intruded by syenite stocks and <20% cone sheets (e.g. Hernan & Vélez, 1980; Schmincke, 1998; Schirnick et al., 1999; Figs 1–3). Whole-rock geochemical variations suggest that the trachytic–phonolitic cone sheet magmas originated by fractional crystallization from less-evolved trachytic magmas, whereas the central syenites represent the crystalline residue of this process (Schirnick, 1996).

The exposed cone sheets and syenites intrude older intra-caldera trachy-phonolitic ignimbrites and lava flows, which are compositional equivalents of the extra-caldera Fataga Group (Schmincke, 1976; van den Bogaard & Schmincke, 1998). Several hundred metres of intra-caldera
ignimbrites and lavas of the middle and lower Mogán formations are thought to form the host-rocks for the cone sheets below the present level of exposure. The 40° dipping cone sheets form a spectacular unconformity with the flat-lying lavas and breccias of the Pliocene Roque Nublo group (5–3.5 Ma; van den Bogard & Schmincke, 1998) at Mesa de Junquillo (Fig. 3), representing a period of volcanic quiescence and deep erosion between ~8 and 5 Ma.
Intrusive activity was relatively intense (repose intervals of ~5–10 kyr between intrusions, compared with >50 kyr between eruptions), and persisted during periods of both volcanic activity and inactivity (Schirnick et al., 1999). Two generations of cone sheets have been distinguished, representing a total of 500–1000 intrusive events. An older generation of porphyritic, alkali feldspar trachytic cone sheets (12–3–9.5 Ma) is preserved mainly in the outermost part of the ALDZ, whereas a younger generation of aphanitic, alkali feldspar trachytic to phonolitic cone sheets (9.7–7.3 Ma) dominates the AHDZ and CLDZ. The syenite stocks intruded the AHDZ at 12.3 and 9.88 Ma, and the CLDZ at 8.94 Ma. Together, the cone sheets and syenite stocks represent a bulk intrusive volume of ≥250 km³, and resulted in a calculated cumulative uplift of at least 2 km within the Tejeda caldera (Schirnick, 1996; Schirnick et al., 1999).

To date, investigations into the hydrothermal alteration associated with the Tejeda Intrusive Complex have been limited. Schirnick (1996) noted that Fe–Ti oxides (magnetite and ilmenite) in the older generation cone sheets have been altered to hydroxides (leucoxene), and that oxides in the central syenites display exsolution textures indicative of highly oxidizing conditions. Schirnick et al. (1999) reported that alkali-feldspars in the older generation cone sheets are pervasively altered, and have undergone sub-solidus exsolution to albite and orthoclase, whereas feldspars from the younger generation cone sheets are unaltered. Those workers therefore suggested that hydrothermal activity associated with the intrusive complex ended before 9.5 ± 0.03 Ma, the minimum age of the older cone sheet generation. Schmincke (1998) also noted that some of the trachyte cone sheets of the AHDZ display ‘characteristic ochre weathering colours’, and suggested that cone sheet alteration might represent a second pulse of hydrothermal activity that reached its peak during late Miocene time (i.e. separate to the marginal, late Mogán epithermal system described by, e.g. Donoghue et al., 2008). However, Schmincke (1998) also noted that the lowermost formations of the Fataga group exposed in the direct vicinity of the caldera margin appear relatively unaltered compared with underlying upper Mogán ignimbrites, and suggested that alteration of the cone sheets may alternatively reflect inward ‘shrinking’ of a single Miocene hydrothermal system between late Mogán and Fataga times. Thus, the exact nature and timing of the intrusive hydrothermal system on Gran Canaria remains unresolved. This study provides new insight into the mineralogical and geochemical evolution of the hydrothermal system associated with the Tejeda Intrusive Complex, and sheds new light on its spatial and temporal relationship to the intense alteration of the volcanic rocks along the Tejeda caldera margin.

**SAMPLING AREA AND FIELD OBSERVATIONS**

The area selected for investigation lies along an ~8 km stretch of the GC-210 road, extending from the eastern end of Barranco de La Aldea [427444, 3096025; all grid references reported according to the WGS84 datum and UTM projection] to the central part of Barranco de Tejeda [432048, 3096577] (Fig. 2). The road section traverses both the ALDZ and AHDZ, which together account for over 70% of the exposed cone sheets of the intrusive complex (Schmincke, 1998; Schirnick et al., 1999). The road section also cuts through the central syenite stocks in Barranco de Tejeda at [430568, 3096773] (Fig. 2), allowing the full range of intrusion types to be sampled. Deep erosion and almost continuous exposure of cone sheets of variable age and composition in this area (Schirnick et al., 1999) facilitated a detailed west to east sample traverse from the margin to the central part of the cone sheet swarm, thereby allowing us to constrain any spatial and/or temporal variations in alteration intensity, style, and isotopic composition across the intrusive complex.

On an outcrop and hand-sample scale (Fig. 1a–d), the altered cone sheets are generally massive, and display ubiquitous Mn-oxide staining, veining or fluidal textures and dark grey–purple alteration colours. A number of cone sheets closer to the central part of the cone sheet swarm (e.g. GC185) are intensely friable, and display bright green and beige alteration colours (Fig. 1b and d). The intensity of alteration of the cone sheets is highly variable across the complex, with relatively unaltered sheets often juxtaposed with severely altered ones (e.g. GC249 and GC250). The central syenites are characterized by red Fe-oxide staining and white–brown alteration colours. In total, 33 samples were collected from the intrusive complex, covering all recognizable petrological types and alteration styles. The grid references for the cone sheet and syenite sample sites are given in Supplementary Data Table 1A (available for downloading at http://petrology.oxfordjournals.org/) and corresponding sample localities are shown in Fig. 2. Three additional samples of apparently unaltered (in outcrop) extra-caldera phonolite dykes were taken from road cuttings near El Risco for comparison (Fig. 1).

**ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were carried out on selected whole-rock samples at the Geochemistry Laboratory in the Geology Department of Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. The minerals present in each powdered sample were determined with a Phillips PW1050/25 diffractometer, using Cu Kα radiation. All measurements were taken from 2 to 40° (2θ) at a step size of 0.02° s⁻¹. X-ray diffractograms were interpreted using ‘Traces 5.0’ software, and identification of minerals was achieved by
comparison of peak angles with the Carleton University Department of Geology 20 \( \text{(Cu)} \) table (Chao, 1969), and the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) 1998 Powder Diffraction Database (sets 1–48 and 70–85).

Whole-rock major and trace element concentrations were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF), using an automated Philips PW1480 spectrometer at IFM-GEOMAR Research Centre, Kiel, Germany. Powdered samples were dried at 110°C prior to analysis, and lithium tetraborate glass fusion beads were prepared according to the methods of Norrish & Hutton (1969), with modifications after Harvey et al. (1973) and Schroeder et al. (1980). All analyses were carried out with an Rh tube, and calibration was performed using international geological reference samples (see Abratis et al., 2002). Volatile concentrations \((\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ and } \text{CO}_2)\) were determined by IR photometry (Rosemount CSA 5003) after heating the rock powder to 960°C.

Whole-rock samples were analysed for their D/H and \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) ratios using a Finnigan Delta XP mass spectrometer in the University of Cape Town (UCT), South Africa. All O- and H-isotope data are reported in the familiar \(\delta\) notation where \(\delta = 1000 \times \left( \frac{\text{R}_{\text{sample}} - \text{R}_{\text{standard}}}{\text{R}_{\text{standard}}} \right)\) and \(\text{R} = \frac{^{18}\text{O}}{^{16}\text{O}}\) or D/H. Powdered samples were prepared for D/H determination off-line, using the method of Vennemann & O’Neil (1993). All samples were degassed on a conventional borosilicate glass vacuum line at 200°C prior to pyrolysis. Water was liberated from 50–100 mg of whole-rock powder, and 100–150 mg of low-blank ‘Indiana’ Zn was used to reduce the liberated water to H2. For those samples displaying the least amount of secondary alteration in thin section (and therefore expected to be relatively H2O-poor), 200 mg of sample powder and 200 mg of Zn were used to ensure enough water was liberated and reduced to H2 for analysis, and to minimize the possibility of contamination of

Fig. 4. (a) Hydrothermally altered cone sheets from close to the centre of the cone sheet swarm [431753, 3096640]. It should be noted that alteration appears to be more intense in thinner dykes, and along the contacts between juxtaposed intrusions (paler colours), whereas the central zones portions of thicker dykes are more massive and appear to have escaped major alteration (darker colour). Chisel at centre of image ∼20 cm. (b) Intensely altered (clay- and zeolite-rich), friable cone sheets from the centre of the cone sheet swarm, displaying extensive alteration. (c) Sample GC179 displaying Fe–Ti oxide and Mn-oxide veining. (d) Sample GC180 displaying a bleached appearance and fluidal texture.
sample water by blank water in the silicate line. Water was produced from ~50 mg of an internal biotite standard (CGBi, δD = −59.9‰, δ18O = 5.70 ‰) and analysed in duplicate with each batch of samples. For D/H determination, an internal water standard (CTMP, δD = −9‰) was used to calibrate the raw data to the V-SMOW scale, and the data were normalized so that V-SLAP gave a value of −428‰ on the V-SMOW scale, as recommended by Coplen (1995). Water concentrations (H2O⁺) of whole-rocks were determined from the voltage measured on the mass 2 collector of the mass spectrometer, using identical sample inlet volume (Vennemann & O'Neil, 1993). The analytical errors for δD and H2O⁺ are of the order of ±2‰ (1σ) and 0.1 wt ‰ (1σ), respectively, but it should be noted that the error on δD may be somewhat higher in those samples containing low concentrations of H2O⁺ (see Harris et al., 2005) as a result of a greater possibility of blank water contamination during extraction.

For O-isotopes, powdered samples (~40 mg) were dried in an oven at 30°C, and degassed under vacuum on a conventional silicate line at 200°C for 2 h. Silicates were reacted with ClF3 in the silicate line for 3 h at 550°C (Borthwick & Harmon, 1982), and the liberated O2 was converted to CO2 using a hot platinumized carbon rod. Further details on the methods employed for O-extraction from silicate minerals at UCT has been given by Vennemann & Smith (1990) and Harris & Erlank (1992). Samples were run on the vacuum line in batches of eight, along with duplicate samples of the internal quartz standard, NBS-28. For 18O/16O determination, a δ18O value for NBS-28 of 9.64‰ was used to normalize the raw data to the V-SMOW scale (Coplen et al., 1983). The normalized and un-normalized δ18O values differ by <0.1‰. The analytical error for δ18O is estimated to be about ±0.1‰ (1σ) for all samples, based on long-term duplication of NBS-28.

RESULTS

Petrography

Petrographic descriptions of cone sheets (n = 27), syenite (n = 3) and the extra-caldera phonolite dyke (n = 3) samples are included in Supplementary Data Table 1A (http://www.petrology.oxfordjournals.org/). A summary of the main petrographic features of each sample suite is given below and photomicrographs of selected alteration textures are shown in Fig. 5.

Original magmatic textures of cone sheet samples range from microcrystalline and aphanitic (e.g. GC250) to strongly porphyritic (e.g. GC244). Phenocryst assemblages are dominated by alkali-feldspar (~10 vol. %), with minor Fe–Ti oxide (~5 vol. %), biotite–phlogopite (~2 vol. %), titanite (~1 vol. %), and apatite (~1 vol. %) (see Schirnick, 1996; Schirnick et al., 1999). Alkali-feldspar phenocrysts are subhedral to anhedral, ~0.5–3 mm in size, and typically display anti-perthitic textures where they have undergone exsolution to albite and orthoclase (Table 1). In the majority of samples, the feldspar phenocrysts display patchy to pervasive turbidity and minor replacement by fine-grained phyllosilicate phases along crystal boundaries, fractures, and twinning planes (e.g. GC243, GC257, GC258; Fig. 3a). Decomposition of Fe–Ti-oxide phenocrysts is prevalent (e.g. GC246, GC177), with many crystals displaying bright red alteration rims (hematite–leucoxene) surrounding relatively unaltered, isotropic cores (Fig. 5b).

Groundmass assemblages in the cone sheet samples are dominated by alkali feldspar, with variable amounts of interstitial Fe–Ti oxide, aegirine, analcime, alkali-amphibole, quartz and glass. Groundmass textures range from variolitic (e.g. GC250), to trachytic (e.g. GC258) to felsitic or cryptocrystalline (e.g. GC249). Groundmass feldspar laths are typically cloudy or turbid (e.g. GC242, GC243, GC261, GC263), and display partial replacement by fine-grained phyllosilicate phases and poorly crystalline Fe–Ti oxides (e.g. GC184, GC188; Fig. 5d). Only one cone sheet sample (GC249) retains a relatively unaltered appearance in thin section (Fig. 5c), and contains primary (unexsolved) anorthoclase (Table 1). Where groundmass analcime is present, it forms isotropic to weakly birefringent crystals in interstices. Alkali-amphibole (arvedsonite–kaersutite; see Schirnick, 1996) was identified in two relatively unaltered cone sheets (GC249 and GC257), where it forms acicular, blue-green crystals intergrown with aegirine or, more rarely, well-developed, strongly pleochroic prismatic crystals extending into miarolitic cavities (e.g. GC249; Fig. 5c). Where present, both aegirine and alkali-amphibole often display partial alteration to poorly crystalline Fe–Ti oxides (Fig. 5c). Quartz occurs in numerous altered cone sheet samples as a groundmass phase (e.g. GC246), infilling fractures and cavities (e.g. GC250), and as fine-grained, polycrystalline rims around feldspar phenocrysts (e.g. GC177). Precipitation of Mn-oxide dendrites (Fig. 5e), and decomposition of interstitial Fe–Ti-oxides (Fig. 5f), was also observed in numerous cone sheet samples.

The three syenite samples display coarse, holocrystalline textures, and have bulk mineral assemblages comprising mainly alkali feldspar (~60 vol. %), clinopyroxene (~20 vol. %), alkali-amphibole (~5 vol. %), and Fe–Ti oxide (~5 vol. %). Phlogopite (~5 vol. %) with inclusions of apatite, poorly crystalline aegirine (~2 vol. %) and analcime (~1 vol. %) occurs as a late interstitial phase (Fig. 5g). All syenite samples display intense alteration of primary textures and mineral phases. Alkali feldspar laths are strongly turbid, and in many cases only the outline of the original crystal is recognizable (Fig. 5g). Where the alkali feldspar laths are only partially altered, turbid domains are preferentially developed along crystal
Fig. 5. Photomicrographs of selected cone sheet (a–f) and syenite (g, h) samples. (a) Alkali feldspar phenocryst in GC243 displaying a turbid texture and partial alteration to fine-grained phyllosilicates (chlorite–montmorillonite, biotite) and secondary Fe–Ti oxides along fracture surfaces and crystal boundaries. (b) Fe–Ti oxide phenocryst in GC246 partially decomposed to secondary Fe–Ti (hematite or leucoxene). (c) GC249 displaying a relatively unaltered felsitic texture, comprising interlocking alkali feldspar laths, with interstitial aegirine, alkali-amphibole, and analcime. (d) GC184 displaying pervasive replacement of groundmass feldspar by clay minerals (chlorite–montmorillonite). (e) Mn-oxide veins in GC250 displaying a distinctive dendritic texture. (f) Interstitial Fe–Ti oxide in GC261 partially decomposed to secondary hematite–leucoxene. (g) GC252 containing strongly turbid alkali feldspar laths, partially decomposed Fe–Ti oxides, and poorly crystalline aegirine overprinted by Fe–Ti oxides in interstices. (h) Alkali feldspar laths in holocrystalline syenite (GC252) displaying well-developed turbidity. Also noteworthy is the alteration of interstitial phases to secondary Fe–Ti oxides, muscovite, and fine-grained phyllosilicates (chlorite–montmorillonite). Magnification ×12 for (d), (e), and (h), ×5 for (c), and ×10 for (a), (b), (f) and (g). All photomicrographs taken in plane-polarized light, apart from (h), which is in crossed-polarized light.
Table 1: Primary magmatic, deuteric, and meteoric–hydrothermal mineral assemblages, and corresponding δD, H2O, δ18O values, of intra-caldera cone sheets, syenite intrusions, and extra-caldera phonolite dykes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Zone</th>
<th>DFC (km)</th>
<th>Primary magmatic</th>
<th>Late-magmatic–deuteric</th>
<th>Meteoric–hydrothermal</th>
<th>Isotopes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>anorth K-spar alb aeg anal alk-amph phlog</td>
<td>chl-mont qtz musc</td>
<td>δD (%)</td>
<td>H2O (wt %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cone sheets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC242</td>
<td>ALDZ 6.0</td>
<td>X X x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC243</td>
<td>ALDZ 5.4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>-126</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC244</td>
<td>ALDZ 4.8</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC245</td>
<td>ALDZ 4.8</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-123</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC246</td>
<td>ALDZ 4.8</td>
<td>X x</td>
<td></td>
<td>-127</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC247</td>
<td>AHDZ 4.2</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-125</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC248</td>
<td>AHDZ 4.2</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-129</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC171</td>
<td>AHDZ 4.2</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC174</td>
<td>AHDZ 4.2</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC249 (fresh)</td>
<td>AHDZ 3.7</td>
<td>X X X X x</td>
<td></td>
<td>-48, -48</td>
<td>2.4, 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC250</td>
<td>AHDZ 3.7</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC176</td>
<td>AHDZ 3.5</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC177</td>
<td>AHDZ 3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC178</td>
<td>AHDZ 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-130, -142</td>
<td>0.5, 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC179</td>
<td>AHDZ 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-149</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC251</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.9</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-104</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC255</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.9</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-97</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC180</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>-108</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC181</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-83</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC256</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.3</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-95</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC257</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.3</td>
<td>X X x x x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC258</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.3</td>
<td>X X x x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC259</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.3</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-117</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC260</td>
<td>AHDZ 1.8</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC261</td>
<td>AHDZ 1.8</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC262</td>
<td>AHDZ 1.8</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-81</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC263</td>
<td>AHDZ 1.8</td>
<td>X X x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC184</td>
<td>AHDZ 1.7</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-102</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC185</td>
<td>AHDZ 1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-108</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC264</td>
<td>AHDZ 1.5</td>
<td>X X X x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syenites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC252</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.9</td>
<td>X X x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-91</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC253</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.9</td>
<td>X X x</td>
<td></td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC254</td>
<td>AHDZ 2.9</td>
<td>X X x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-121</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-caldera phonolite dykes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC284</td>
<td>n.a. 10</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-59, -53</td>
<td>2.1, 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC285</td>
<td>n.a. 10</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>-81, -74</td>
<td>0.9, 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC286</td>
<td>n.a. 10</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>-65, -62</td>
<td>1.6, 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALDZ, Annular Low Density Zone; AHDZ, Annular High Density Zone (see Schmincke, 1998; Schirnick et al., 1999). DFC, ‘Distance from Centre’, the measured ‘straight line’ distance of each sample locality from the axis of radial symmetry of the cone sheet swarm (see Schirnick, 1996; Schirnick et al., 1999). Large bold ‘X’ indicates mineral represented by major peak on XRD scan; small ‘x’ indicates mineral represented by minor peak on XRD scan. anorth, anorthoclase; K-spar, K-feldspar; alb, albite; aeg, aegirine; anal, analcime; alk-amph, alkali-amphibole; phlog, phlogopite; chl-mont, chlorite-montmorillonite; qtz, quartz; musc, muscovite. n.a., not applicable.
boundaries and fractures, with some samples displaying relatively limpid cores. In GC252, interstitial feldspar is altered to fine-grained muscovite (Fig. 5h), whereas biotite laths and interstitial aegirine are overprinted by conspicuous clusters of opaque crystallites. Decomposition of Fe–Ti oxides to hematite–leucoxene is observed in all three syenite samples (Fig. 5h), whereas dendritic Mn-oxide aggregates are relatively rare, and occur only in the interstices of GC253, where they overprint feldspar.

The three extra-caldera phonolite dykes are generally phenocryst poor (<2 vol. % alkali feldspar) and display either trachytic (GC284 and GC285) or variolitic (GC286) groundmass textures. Groundmass assemblages are dominated by alkali feldspar, with aegirine, analcite, alkali-amphibole, and Fe–Ti oxides as interstitial phases. Small (<0.5 mm wide) miarolitic cavities are common in GC284, and are filled by alkali-amphibole, aegirine, and/or analcite. Despite their relatively unaltered appearance in the field and in hand sample, the extra-caldera phonolite dykes contain turbid groundmass feldspar (e.g. GC285), and show partial replacement of aegirine and amphibole crystallites by fine-grained phyllosilicates and Fe–Ti oxides (e.g. GC284).

**X-ray diffraction (XRD)**

The mineralogy of each sample suite, as deduced from the whole-rock XRD data, is shown in Table 1. The approximate 'straight-line' distance (in km) of each sampling point from the axis of radial symmetry of the cone sheet swarm (centred around Los Reyos; Schirnick, 1996; Schirnick et al., 1999; Fig. 1), and the O- and H-isotope ratios and H2O concentrations, are also indicated.

The bulk mineralogy of the extra-caldera phonolite dykes (n = 3) comprises K-feldspar + albite + aegirine + analcite + alkali-amphibole ± chlorite–montmorillonite. The altered intra-caldera cone sheets (n = 26) contain K-feldspar + albite ± aegirine ± quartz ± analcite ± alkali-amphibole ± chlorite–montmorillonite, and the altered syenite samples (n = 3) contain K-feldspar + albite + aegirine ± biotite±phlogopite ± muscovite. It should be noted that albite and K-feldspar are the dominant phases in all samples apart from GC249, which contains anorthoclase. The phyllosilicate phases produced very low-intensity peaks on the majority of the XRD scans, suggesting that clay alteration is not volumetrically significant. Only two cone sheet samples (GC381 and GC384) produced relatively high-intensity peaks at around 3° (2θ), reflecting the presence of chlorite–montmorillonite in considerable quantities (>5 vol. %). Secondary Fe–Ti oxides, which are visible in nearly all samples in thin section, were not detected by XRD methods, perhaps reflecting their poorly crystalline nature, and/or their relatively low volume.

**Major and trace elements**

The major element oxide, trace element, and total volatile (H2O + CO2) concentrations of the altered cone sheets and syenites, the unaltered cone sheet (GC249), and one relatively unaltered extra-caldera phonolite dyke (GC286) are reported in Table 2. The unaltered cone sheet sample plots in the phonolite field on a total alkali vs silica (TAS) diagram (Le Maitre et al., 1989; Fig. 6), whereas the altered cone sheet plots in the trachyte field and define an overall trend of decreasing Na2O + K2O wt % with increasing SiO2 wt %. All the plotted data are normalized to 100% on a volatile-free basis. Selected major and trace elements are plotted versus Zr as an index of differentiation in Figs 7 and 8 (Schirnick, 1996). Zr concentrations range from 841 to 1866 ppm in the cone sheets, with the unaltered extra-caldera dyke also plotting within this range (1078 ppm). Zr concentrations in the syenite samples are relatively low and uniform (464 and 476 ppm). In the cone sheets, the majority of the major element oxides (e.g. SiO2, Al2O3, Fe2O3, CaO, MgO, MnO) show no systematic variation with Zr concentration; Na2O and K2O show weak positive and negative correlations, respectively (Fig. 7f and g). Incompatible trace elements (Nb, Y, Rh, Ce) all show positive correlations with Zr, with the lowest concentrations consistently observed in the syenites (Fig. 8a–d; similar correlations are also observed for Th, La, and Zn). In contrast, the compatible trace elements (Ti, P, Sr, Ba) are highly concentrated in those samples with the lowest Zr contents (i.e. the syenites), but relatively low and constant in concentration in the cone sheets (Fig. 8e–h). H2O concentrations range from 0.79 to 1.70 wt % in the altered cone sheets, whereas the syenite samples have H2O concentrations of 0.72 and 0.86 wt % (Table 2). The unaltered cone sheet and the extra-caldera dyke have relatively high H2O concentrations of 1.65 and 2.68 wt %, respectively.

**Whole-rock O and H isotopes**

The O and H isotope compositions and water concentrations of all samples are reported in Table 1. The extra-caldera phonolite dykes have δ18O values of 8.6, 7.5, and 7.5‰, which lie at the higher end of the δ18O range for ‘normal’ (i.e. unaltered) trachytes and syenites (δ18O = 6–8‰; e.g. Taylor, 1968; Fig. 9). In contrast, the δ18O values for the altered intra-caldera cone sheets range from 0.1 to 10.0‰ (average δ18O = 4.7‰), n = 22, and can be divided into two groups (Fig. 9; Table 1): (1) samples characterized by low δ18O values (0.1–5.5‰) relative to unaltered trachytes and syenites; (2) samples with ‘normal’ to relatively high δ18O values (6.8–10.0‰). The low δ18O values correspond to those samples displaying strongly turbid feldspars, but little or no clay replacement (GC242–GC248, GC174, GC178, GC179,
Table 2: Whole-rock major oxide and trace element concentrations (from XRF) for the cone sheets, syenites and an extra-caldera phonolite dyke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name:</th>
<th>GC178</th>
<th>GC181</th>
<th>GC184</th>
<th>GC185</th>
<th>GC242</th>
<th>GC246</th>
<th>GC249</th>
<th>GC252</th>
<th>GC253</th>
<th>GC257</th>
<th>GC258</th>
<th>GC259</th>
<th>GC261</th>
<th>GC266</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample type:</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS/fr</td>
<td>SY</td>
<td>SY</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>PHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>63.31</td>
<td>66.77</td>
<td>62.78</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>62.65</td>
<td>61.19</td>
<td>57.47</td>
<td>58.21</td>
<td>63.26</td>
<td>61.75</td>
<td>60.62</td>
<td>62.85</td>
<td>64.06</td>
<td>61.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>16.64</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>16.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>5.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>99.68</td>
<td>97.78</td>
<td>97.68</td>
<td>99.13</td>
<td>98.38</td>
<td>98.69</td>
<td>96.36</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>98.13</td>
<td>97.86</td>
<td>97.32</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.97</td>
<td>98.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2173</td>
<td>1092</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1857</td>
<td>1479</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>1369</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>1078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CS, cone sheet; SY, syenite; PHD, phonolite dyke (extra-caldera); fr, fresh. n.d., not detected.
extend down to much more negative values than those of the extra-caldera dykes and the unaltered intra-caldera cone sheet (GC249, D = −48%). The H₂O⁺ concentrations of the extra-caldera dykes (2.1, 0.9, and 1.6 wt %), and the unaltered cone sheet (2.2 wt %), are relatively high compared with the altered cone sheets (0.2–0.8 wt % H₂O⁺; n = 28; Fig. 11). The three central syenite samples have δD values of −91, −99, and −121‰, and corresponding H₂O⁺ concentrations of 0.5, 0.5, and 0.6 wt %. The H₂O data obtained from voltage readings on the mass spectrometer during δD analyses (Table 1) are consistent with the H₂O concentrations determined by IR photometry (Table 2). The highest H₂O concentrations occur in the relatively unaltered samples containing hydrous deuteric minerals (e.g. GC249, GC257, GC258, GC286), and in altered samples containing significant quantities of chlorite–montmorillonite (e.g. GC381, GC384; Table 1), whereas lower H₂O concentrations occur in the altered cone sheets (e.g. GC278, GC242) and syenites (GC252, GC253) containing strongly turbid feldspars.

**DISCUSSION**

**Petrography, mineralogy, and paragenetic sequence**

The minerals present in each sample have been classified as either 'primary magmatic' (i.e. those minerals that grew in the presence of a silicate melt, with or without a coexisting fluid phase), 'deuteric' (i.e. those minerals that crystallized directly from fluids exsolved from the melt during the final stages of solidification, or that precipitated as a result of interaction between such fluids and pre-existing primary magmatic phases), or 'secondary meteoric–hydrothermal' (i.e. those minerals that formed as a result of solid-state reactions between primary magmatic or deuteric mineral phases and heated meteoric fluids) (see Table 1).

**Primary magmatic mineral phases**

The petrographically unaltered intra-caldera cone sheet sample (GC249) provides a good first-order approximation of the primary magmatic mineralogy of the altered cone...
Fig. 7. Plots of selected major element oxides (Si, Al, Fe, Na, K, Ca) vs Zr for the altered cone sheets and syenites, the unaltered cone sheet (GC249), and one relatively unaltered extra-caldera phonolite dyke (GC286). Key as in Fig. 6. Use of log-scale in (h) should be noted. (See text for details.)
Fig. 8. Plots of selected incompatible (Nb, Y, Rb, and Ce) and compatible (Ti, P, Sr, and Ba) trace elements vs Zr for the altered cone sheets and syenites, the unaltered cone sheet (GC249) and one relatively unaltered extra-caldera phonolite dyke (GC286). Key as in Fig 6. Best-fit trend lines and $R^2$ values in (a)–(d) include all data points. Best-fit trend lines and $R^2$ values in (e)–(h) exclude syenites. Use of log-scale in (f)–(h) should be noted. (See text for details.)
sheets. In this sample, high-temperature alkali feldspar (anorthoclase) is the dominant primary mineral phase, together with variable amounts of Fe-Ti oxide and minor accessory phases (titanite, apatite). It should be noted that in all other samples, anorthoclase has exsolved to K-feldspar and albite (Table 1). Although fluid–feldspar interactions may play a role in the exsolution process (e.g. Worden et al., 1990; Witt-Eickschen et al., 1996), there are insufficient petrographic data available for the present sample set to specify whether exsolution took place under dry or wet conditions. For the purposes of this study, K-feldspar and albite are also considered primary magmatic phases.

Deuteric mineral phases
Aegirine occurs as an interstitial phase in numerous cone sheets (including GC249; Fig. 5c), and in all syenites and the extra-caldera phonolite dykes (Table 1). Similar
occurrences of interstitial aegirine have been described by Marks et al. (2003) from the peralkaline syenites and alkali granites of the Puklen complex (Gardar Province, south Greenland), where its formation is associated with deuteric alteration of Ca-bearing phases by late magmatic, Na-rich aqueous fluids at temperatures \(530^\circ C\), and under relatively oxidizing conditions \([O_2]\) between the FMQ (fayalite–magnetite–quartz) and HM (hematite–magnetite) buffers. Studies of other intrusive complexes in the Gardar Province (e.g. Klokken, Ilmaussaq) have also shown that late-stage fluids expelled from alkali to peralkaline intrusions are typically highly enriched in alkali elements (Parsons et al., 1991; Markl, 2001; Markl & Baumgartner, 2002; Schönberger et al., 2006). In our samples, the dominantly interstitial occurrence of aegirine, and its close association with other sodic (arfvedsonite–kaersutite) and hydrous (analcime) mineral phases, is consistent with crystallization from Na-rich deuteric fluids, released during the final stages of solidification. However, it should be noted that aegirine and alkali-amphibole may also grow directly from silicate melts (i.e. as primary magmatic phases), as shown by their occurrence as quenched needles in glass-bearing syenite xenoliths from Tenerife (Wolff, 1987; Wolff & Toney, 1993). Based on petrographic evidence, a primary magmatic origin could be invoked for the well-formed aegirine and alkali-amphibole crystals in GC249, where groundmass alkali feldspar has not exsolved to K- and Na-rich domains, and shows no evidence of turbidity or replacement induced by reaction with deuteric or meteoric–hydrothermal fluids (Fig. 5c). In samples containing aegirine and/or alkali-amphibole, but also showing turbid feldspar domains and minor clay alteration (GC284, GC286, GC257, GC258, GC263), it is plausible that the amphiboles and clinopyroxenes are primary igneous phases that remained stable over a range of conditions encompassing both magmatic and hydrothermal temperatures, and were left partially intact by sub-solidus alteration episodes.

Analcime is a common interstitial phase in both the extra-caldera phonolite dykes and the petrographically unaltered cone sheet (GC249; Fig. 5c). The origin of

![Plot of whole-rock \(\delta^{18}O\) vs approximate ‘straight-line’ distance of each sample locality from the central axis of radial symmetry of the cone sheet swarm (see Schirnick et al., 1999). Also shown for reference is the \(\delta^{18}O\) field for unaltered trachytes and syenites (\(\delta^{18}O = 6\pm 8\%e\); e.g. Taylor, 1968). A noteworthy feature is the lack of any systematic variation in \(\delta^{18}O\) with distance across the intrusive complex, reflecting overprinting of isotopic compositions as a result of multiple cone sheet intrusions over \(\sim 5\) Myr. Overall, however, the highest \(\delta^{18}O\) values occur in relatively clay-rich dykes closer to the centre of the cone sheet swarm, suggesting enhanced retrograde alteration at the centre of the intrusive complex. (See text for details.)
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**Fig. 10.** Plot of whole-rock \(\delta^{18}O\) vs approximate ‘straight-line’ distance of each sample locality from the central axis of radial symmetry of the cone sheet swarm (see Schirnick et al., 1999). Also shown for reference is the \(\delta^{18}O\) field for unaltered trachytes and syenites (\(\delta^{18}O = 6\pm 8\%e\); e.g. Taylor, 1968). A noteworthy feature is the lack of any systematic variation in \(\delta^{18}O\) with distance across the intrusive complex, reflecting overprinting of isotopic compositions as a result of multiple cone sheet intrusions over \(\sim 5\) Myr. Overall, however, the highest \(\delta^{18}O\) values occur in relatively clay-rich dykes closer to the centre of the cone sheet swarm, suggesting enhanced retrograde alteration at the centre of the intrusive complex. (See text for details.)
phenocrystic analcime in alkaline volcanic rocks has long been debated in the literature, with some researchers reporting it as a primary magmatic phase crystallized directly from a hydrous, Na-bearing melt (e.g. Wilkinson 1968; Luhr & Kyser, 1989; Goble et al. 1993; Pearce, 1993), and others interpreting it as a replacement product of primary phenocryst phases such as leucite and nepheline, which have interacted with Na-bearing, late magmatic (deuteric) fluids (e.g. Gupta & Fyfe, 1975; Luhr & Giannetti, 1987; Horvath & Gault, 1990). Schirnick (1996) reported rare hexagonal crystallites in some of the younger generation cone sheets in the Tejeda Intrusive Complex, which he suggested may have been primary analcime phenocrysts that are now completely replaced. However, the dominantly interstitial occurrence of analcime in our samples suggests that it is a secondary (deuteric) mineral phase, formed by the reaction of late-magmatic, Na-bearing fluids with Si-rich groundmass minerals (e.g. nepheline) or glass (see Peters et al., 1966; Kim & Burley, 1971; Wilkinson & Hensel, 1994). This interpretation is in agreement with the experimental work of Roux & Hamilton (1976), which shows that analcime is only a liquidus phase under very restricted $P-T$ conditions ($640-660^\circ$C; 5–13 kbar), which are inappropriate in high-level alkaline dykes and sills.

Hydrothermal mineral phases

Primary Fe–Ti oxide minerals (e.g. ilmenite, magnetite) and titanite occur both as phenocrysts and as interstitial phases in all cone sheets, and to a lesser extent in the central syenites. In most samples, primary Fe–Ti oxides have undergone partial to complete decomposition to secondary Fe-Ti-bearing phases. The poorly crystalline, red alteration rims around many opaque phenocrysts and interstitial crystals (Fig. 5b and f) are most probably composed of a mixture of hematite and fine-grained leucoxene (see Schirnick, 1996), reflecting the release of Fe and/or Ti during low-temperature exsolution of primary magnetite and/or ilmenite. Secondary Fe-oxide phases occur as fine-grained, opaque disseminations or vein fills in some cone sheets, reflecting the release of Fe into solution from, for example, Fe-rich groundmass phases (e.g. phyllosilicates, aegirine), and subsequent oxidation of Fe by $H_2O$ in fractures. Mn-oxide minerals are also present in many of the cone sheets (and to a lesser extent in the syenites), where they form brown-black, dendritic aggregates coating the surface of the rock (e.g. GC250; Fig. 5c). The dendritic structure of the Mn-oxide deposits is a particularly characteristic feature of pyrolusite ($MnO_2$) and manganite ($MnOOH$), both of which commonly occur in hydrothermally altered rocks as low-temperature vein deposits.
or as pseudomorphs after other Mn-oxide minerals (e.g. Post, 1999). Fine-grained phyllosilicate phases (dominantly smectitic clays, e.g. chlorite–montmorillonite) occur in the majority of the cone sheets in minor quantities, and are generally more prevalent in dykes from the centre of the cone sheet swarm (GC181, GC184, GC185; Table 1). Smectitic clays typically occur as replacement minerals above zones of shallow-level epithermal mineralization, in the presence of near-neutral to mildly alkaline (pH ~6–8) hydrothermal fluids up to ~230°C (e.g. Brown & Ellis, 1970; Thompson & Thompson, 1996). Thus, the combination of Mn-dendrites, secondary Fe–Ti oxides, and phyllosilicates in the cone sheets and syenites is consistent with low-temperature, retrograde fluid–rock interaction, most probably related to the influx of relatively cool meteoric waters (see below). Similarly, the groundmass quartz, quartz veinlets, and quartz overgrowths identified in a number of cone sheets (Table 1) can be best explained by low-temperature silicification by quartz-saturated hydrothermal fluids following solidification and fracturing of the intrusive complex (see White & Hedenquist, 1990). The presence of muscovite in GC252 suggests that higher fluid temperatures prevailed during retrograde alteration of the syenites (>300°C; see Deer et al., 1966; Thompson & Thompson, 1996), consistent with their relatively deep position at the core of the intrusive complex (Schirnick et al., 1999).

Overall, the occurrence of late magmatic, hydrous mineral phases, and secondary Fe–Ti–Mn oxides, quartz, and phyllosilicates, provides conclusive evidence that the Tejeda Intrusive Complex underwent significant fluid–rock interaction during the final stages of magmatic crystallization and subsequent solidification. The textures identified (e.g. turbidity, veining, alteration haloes) are consistent with alteration under sub-solidus conditions, either by dissolution and re-precipitation of pre-existing primary phases, or by direct precipitation of secondary minerals from deuteric and/or hydrothermal fluids in micro-fractures and cavities. Experimental studies of melting relations in alkaline rocks (e.g. Edgar & Parker, 1974; Kogarko & Romanchev, 1977; Scaillet & Macdonald, 2001) show that silica under- and over-saturated peralkaline liquids have crystallization intervals ranging from ~800°C down to ~500°C, and typically release alkali-enriched magmatic fluids during the final stages of solidification, at temperatures between 300 and 500°C (see Markl & Baumgartner, 2002; Marks et al., 2003). Thus, the paragenetic sequence observed in the cone sheets and syenites most likely reflects at least three (probably overlapping) phases of fluid–rock interaction: (1) deuteric alteration (~300–500°C) by late magmatic fluids expelled from a solidifying crystal mush (precipitation of analcime, alkali-amphibole, and aegirine); (2) hydrothermal alteration (~150–300°C) by meteoric water during the final stages of crystallization and/or immediately following solidification and fracturing of the intrusive complex (development of turbid alkali feldspar and precipitation of secondary muscovite in the syenites); (3) retrograde alteration by relatively cool (<150°C) meteoric water (minor clay alteration, silicification, decomposition of primary Fe–Ti oxides, and Mn-oxide precipitation). The origins of the hydrothermal fluids, and the temperature ranges for alteration quoted above, are discussed in more detail below, in light of O- and H-isotope data.

**Major and trace elements**

All major element oxide and trace element concentrations for the samples analysed in this study plot within the ranges reported by Schirnick (1996) for unaltered cone sheets and syenites. Thus, major element mobilization as a result of deuteric or hydrothermal alteration is not considered to be significant in our samples, and the observed elemental variations are thought to largely reflect primary igneous processes. The relatively low concentrations of incompatible trace elements (Th, Y, Nb, Ce, La, Zr) in the syenites compared to the cone sheets (Fig. 8a–h), is consistent with the syenites being the cumulative residue resulting from fractionational crystallization of the cone sheet magmas (see Schirnick, 1996; Schirnick et al., 1999). Partitioning of Ba and Sr into feldspar, Ti into Fe–Ti oxides (ilmenite), and P into apatite during fractional crystallization can account for the relatively low concentrations of these compatible trace elements in the cone sheets compared with the syenites (see Schirnick, 1996).

**O- and H-isotopes**

The final isotopic composition of a rock after fluid–rock interaction is controlled by (1) the initial isotopic composition of the fluid, (2) the initial isotopic composition of the rock, (3) the temperature of the fluid, (4) the water to rock ratio, and (5) the duration (i.e. the approach to isotopic equilibrium) of fluid–rock interaction (e.g. Taylor, 1997). Whereas the whole-rock O- and H-isotope data presented in this study do not allow us to quantify precisely the relative influence of each of these factors, they nevertheless provide valuable insights into the nature of the hydrothermal fluid source, and its isotopic and thermal evolution during fluid–rock interaction. In the Tejeda Intrusive Complex, the dominant hydrothermal fluid source and its initial isotopic composition can be estimated from the whole-rock δ¹⁸O and δD values of the altered cone sheets, and the composition of ambient rainfall for Gran Canaria (see below). The starting rock δ¹⁸O value is assumed to be that of the unaltered phonolite.
**Initial water composition**

Present-day groundwater on Gran Canaria is recharged at an average altitude of ~1000 m above sea level (a.s.l.), and has δ18O and δD values ranging from around −1 to −5‰, and from around −1 to −30‰, respectively (Gonfiantini, 1973; Gasparini et al., 1990; Muñoz Sanz, 2005). Prior to cone sheet emplacement (during Miocene epithermal activity; 13–12.5 Ma), the average recharge altitude of local meteoric water is thought to have been ~400 m a.s.l., corresponding to δ18O and δD values of approximately −3 and −15‰, respectively (Donoghue et al., 2008). Le Bas (1971) has shown that cone sheet intrusions are capable of producing considerable uplift of the overlying country rocks, of the order of several hundred metres. Schirnick et al. (1999) suggested that emplacement of the Tejeda Intrusive Complex resulted in a cumulative uplift of more than 2 km over a period of ~5 Myr. Thus, it is estimated that the recharge altitude of meteoric water at the time of cone sheet and syenite intrusion was at least 2500 m a.s.l. It is well known that the δD and δ18O values of precipitation decrease with increasing altitude (e.g. Dansgaard, 1964). Therefore, a recharge altitude of 2500 m a.s.l. on Gran Canaria would have resulted in a meteoric water source with substantially lower δD and δ18O values than present-day precipitation, and lower values than meteoric water feeding the Miocene epithermal system. Using the δ18O-altitude correlation and the local meteoric water line for southern Gran Canaria (Gonfiantini, 1973), a δ18O value of −6‰ is obtained for a recharge altitude of 2500 m, corresponding to a δD value of −36‰. It should be noted that the recharge altitude of local precipitation may have been even higher, perhaps >3000 m a.s.l., if a central volcanic edifice similar to, for example, the Plio-Pleistocene Las Cañasas volcano on Tenerife existed above the intrusion (~3000 m a.s.l.; see Martí et al., 1994; Ancochea et al., 1999; Carracedo et al., 2007), resulting in even lower δ18O and δD values for meteoric water. The presence of a volcanic edifice is not unreasonable, given the large volume of material erupted during the lifetime of the Tejeda intrusive complex (>500 km3 of trachy-phonolitic ash flows, lava flows, and fallout tephra; see Sumita & Schmincke, 1998). It should be noted also that Javy et al. (1986) invoked the presence of a large volcanic edifice (perhaps as much as 4000 m a.s.l) on the island of Fuerteventura during oligocene–miocene times, to explain anomalously low δD values (down to −13‰) in rocks and minerals from the island’s basal complex. However, as a first-order approximation, we assume that meteoric water recharging the hydrothermal system of the Tejeda Intrusive Complex had initial δ18O and δD values of around −8 and −36‰, respectively, based on an estimated minimum recharge altitude of ~2500 m a.s.l. It should be noted that the δ18O value of the meteoric–hydrothermal fluid would have become more positive as fluid–rock interaction progressed, as a result of isotopic exchange with the surrounding, relatively 18O-rich country rocks.

**Initial rock composition**

The three apparently unaltered extra-caldera phonolite dykes have δD values of −59, −61, and −85‰, which lie within the reported δD range for most amphiboles and biotites from unaltered igneous rocks (~55 to −85‰; Taylor, 1968, 1974; Fig. 9). However, the corresponding δ18O values (7.5, 7.5, and 6.8‰) are at the higher end of the typical δ18O range reported for unaltered phonolitic or syenitic bodies (6–8‰; e.g. Taylor, 1968; Lutz et al., 1988; Harris, 1995). Assuming a ‘normal’ upper mantle δ18O value of 57‰ (e.g. Eiler, 2001), fractional crystallization of primary magmatic phases (mainly alkali feldspar) cannot account for δ18O values as high as 8.6‰ in the extra-caldera dykes, because the maximum expected increase in δ18O value is <1‰ (e.g. Bindeman et al., 2004). For analcime, chlorite, and smectite, mineral–water O-isotope fractions (Δ18O(mineral−water)) are positive at temperatures below ~500°C (Wenner & Taylor, 1971; Karlsson & Clayton, 1990; Sheppard & Gilg, 1996), and increase in magnitude substantially with decreasing temperature. Thus, the slightly elevated δ18O values in the extra-caldera phonolite dykes compared with unaltered phonolitic rocks probably reflect the combination of fractional crystallization, precipitation of 18O-enriched deuteric phases, and sub-solidus hydrothermal alteration of primary and deuteric mineral phases at relatively low temperature. Consequently, it was not possible to estimate reliably the primary igneous isotopic composition and water concentration of the intra-caldera cone sheets from these samples.

Only one intra-caldera cone sheet sample (GC249; Fig. 5c) preserves clear or limpid anorthoclase feldspar, and appears relatively unaffected by retrograde alteration in thin section. The δ18O value of this sample (−7.1‰) is within the range typical of unaltered phonolitic or syenitic bodies (δ18O = 6–8‰; Fig. 9). As such, sample GC249 provides the most reliable approximation of the δ18O value of the cone sheets (and probably also the syenites) prior to hydrothermal alteration (~7‰). The δD value of GC249 (δD ~ −48‰) is also close to the typical range reported for unaltered phonolitic or syenitic rocks (δD = −55 to −85‰; e.g. Taylor, 1968, 1974; Fig. 9). However, this cannot be considered representative of all cone sheets prior to hydrothermal alteration, as some dykes may have solidified with considerably lower δD values from a more degassed (i.e. H2O-poor, D-depleted) magma source (see below). Given that the oxygen content of a typical magma is significantly higher than the hydrogen content, magmatic degassing would have had a negligible effect on the O-isotope composition of the bulk system and, therefore, the whole-rock δ18O values (see Taylor, 1986; Taylor & Sheppard, 1986).
Isotopic compositions and water concentrations of altered cone sheets and syenites

The altered cone sheets have whole-rock $\delta^{18}O$ values ranging from 0.1 to 10.0‰ (Table 1; Fig. 9). Fifteen out of a total of 22 samples have relatively low $\delta^{18}O$ values ($\leq 0.1$–5.5‰), compared with typical values for unaltered phonolitic or syenitic bodies (6–8‰), and the unaltered cone sheet (GC249; $\delta^{18}O = 7.1‰$). Similarly, the three syenite samples have consistently low $\delta^{18}O$ values (2.5, 1.5, and 0.9‰), among the lowest in the entire sample set. Low $\delta^{18}O$ values (down to $-7$‰) in shallow-level intrusive igneous rocks have been attributed to interaction with meteoric water (e.g. Craig, 1961; Sheppard, 1986; Larson & Taylor, 1986). The $^{18}O$ depletion may be caused by (1) direct infiltration of meteoric water into the magma (e.g. Friedman et al., 1974; Lipman & Friedman, 1975; Hildreth et al., 1984), (2) partial or wholesale assimilation or melting of hydrothermally altered wall-rocks by the magma (e.g. Larson & Taylor, 1986b; Grunder, 1987; Bacon et al., 1989; Brandriss et al., 1995, 1996; Larson & Geist, 1995; Bindeman & Valley, 2000), or (3) sub-solidus hydrothermal alteration of the rock at high temperature (e.g. Criss & Taylor, 1983; Criss et al., 1984). In the Tejeda Intrusive Complex, the presence of deuterium phases in the cone sheets, syenites and extra-caldera phonolite dykes (analcime, aegirine, and alkali-amphibole) indicates that interaction with exsolved magmatic aqueous fluids was an important process, and would have influenced the whole-rock $\delta^{18}O$ values to some extent. However, the positive correlation ($r = 0.77$) between $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta D$ in the altered cone sheets (Fig. 9), which broadly parallels the global meteoric water line (Craig, 1961), suggests that meteoric water (rather than magmatic water or seawater) was the dominant fluid source in the hydrothermal system, and/or that any isotopic evidence of late-magmatic fluid–rock interaction has been largely overprinted by subsequent meteoric–hydrothermal event(s). Alternatively, the similarity in slope of the $\delta^{18}O$–$\delta D$ correlation in the altered cone sheets and the meteoric water line may be fortuitous, and simply reflect the presence of two groups of data (low $\delta^{18}O$ and normal to high $\delta^{18}O$), representing high- and low-temperature alteration by meteoric water, respectively (see below). The poor $\delta^{18}O$–$\delta D$ correlation within each data group would suggest that the latter interpretation is correct.

In the absence of mineral isotopic analyses, it is difficult to determine the point in the cooling history of the cone sheets and syenites when meteoric water interacted with, and imparted a low-$\delta^{18}O$ signature on, the rocks. However, several lines of evidence suggest that the low-$\delta^{18}O$ values reflect the infiltration of low-$\delta^{18}O$ meteoric water into the intrusive complex following solidification of the cone sheet and syenite magmas (and or during the very final stages of crystallization), rather than the presence of initially low-$\delta^{18}O$ magmas in the source region. First, Crisp & Spera (1987) reported a typical magmatic $\delta^{18}O$ value of 5.7‰ for an unaltered anorthoclase phenocryst from a Fataga-age ignimbrite sample on Gran Canaria. Given that the Fataga ignimbrites are derived from the same source as the cone sheet and syenite magmas (i.e. the Fataga magma chamber system; see Schirnick et al., 1999), it is unlikely that this source was already depleted in $^{18}O$ prior to crystallization. If a low-$\delta^{18}O$ source existed, low $\delta^{18}O$ values would be expected not only in the intrusive rocks, but also in unaltered feldspar phenocrysts from the Fataga ignimbrites. Second, the unaltered cone sheet sample (GC249) has a ‘normal’ igneous whole-rock $\delta^{18}O$ value of 7.1‰. Third, petrographic observations show that alkali feldspar crystals in the majority of cone sheets and syenites (both low-$\delta^{18}O$ and normal- to high-$\delta^{18}O$ samples) are characterized by the development of patchy to pervasive turbidity. Turbidity is a ubiquitous feature of alkali feldspars in epizonal intrusions and is believed to reflect the presence of numerous tubular micropores on the crystal surface. These micropores are a diagnostic feature of sub-solidus recrystallization of alkali feldspars in the presence of a fluid phase (Worden et al., 1990; Guthrie & Veblen, 1991; Walker et al., 1995; Putnis et al., 2007; Plummer & Putnis, 2009). In addition, several studies have shown that recrystallization of alkali feldspars and the generation of microporosity or turbidity are accompanied by O-isotope exchange and re-equilibration (e.g. Ferry, 1985b; Elsenheimer & Valley, 1993; Cole et al., 2004; Niedermeier et al., 2009). Thus, the presence of turbid alkali feldspars in the altered cone sheets and syenites provides further evidence that meteoric water infiltration and associated O-isotope exchange were post-crystallization processes, and do not reflect direct or indirect contamination by low-$\delta^{18}O$ meteoric water during magma genesis.

Petrographic observations indicate that alkali feldspar is the most voluminous primary mineral phase in the cone sheets and syenites. It is widely known that hydrothermal solutions readily exchange with alkali feldspars at high temperatures (O’Neil & Taylor, 1967). The alkali feldspar–water O-isotope fractionation ($\Delta_{\text{alkali feldspar-water}} \delta^{18}O$) decreases with increasing temperature, such that the $\delta^{18}O$ of alkali feldspar will always be lower than ‘normal’ igneous values (6–8‰) following interaction with a low-$\delta^{18}O$ fluid at appreciable temperatures (e.g. in excess of $\sim 150^\circ C$ for a $\delta^{18}O_{\text{fluid}}$ of $-6$‰). At temperatures lower than $150^\circ C$, $\Delta_{\text{alkali feldspar-water}}$ is large and positive (>12‰), such that the $\delta^{18}O$ value of alkali feldspar will increase relative to magmatic values, for $\delta^{18}O_{\text{fluid}}$ values down to around $-8$‰. Taking $\delta^{18}O_{\text{alkali feldspar}}$ as a proxy for $\delta^{18}O_{\text{whole-rock}}$, and a local meteoric water $\delta^{18}O$ value of $-8$‰ (see above), the relatively low $\delta^{18}O$ values in the cone sheet and syenite samples (0.1–5.5‰) can be
explained by sub-solidus alteration of alkali feldspar at temperatures between ~140 and 230°C. However, it should be noted that these values are minimum temperature estimates, given that the δ¹⁸O of meteoric water may have been considerably higher as a result of O-isotope exchange with the relatively ¹⁸O-rich country rocks over time. Higher alteration temperatures would be more consistent with previous studies of hydrothermal alteration and the development of turbidity in alkali feldspars. For example, Parsons & Brown (1984) suggested a maximum temperature of 400–450°C for the development of turbidity in alkali feldspars in the Klokken intrusion (south Greenland), whereas Ferry (1985b) suggested maximum temperatures of 350–450°C for hydrothermal alteration of alkali feldspars in Tertiary granites from the Isle of Skye. Furthermore, hydrous alteration minerals (e.g. phyllosilicates) occur only in very minor quantities (or are completely absent) in the majority of low-δ¹⁸O cone sheet and syenite samples, indicating that the temperature of fluid–rock interaction must have been sufficiently high (≥400°C) to prevent the stabilization of these phases. Overall, the syenite samples plot closer to the global meteoric water line than the majority of cone sheet samples (which plot closer to the kaolinite weathering line of Savin & Epstein (1970); Fig. 9), indicating that the syenites experienced the highest alteration temperatures in the intrusive complex. It should be noted that O-isotope exchange between mantle-derived igneous rocks and seawater (δ¹⁸O ~0‰) at high temperatures (300–600°C) can also produce relatively low whole-rock δ¹⁸O values (around 6‰ down to around 0‰) in igneous rocks (Muehlenbachs, 1986). However, for our sample set, seawater can be ruled out as a potential hydrothermal fluid source, as O-isotope exchange would have had to take place at temperatures up to around 640°C to produce whole-rock δ¹⁸O values as low as 0.1‰. Such high-temperature fluid–rock interaction is inconsistent with the textures observed in the cone sheets and syenites (e.g. turbid feldspars), which clearly attest to alteration under cooler, sub-solidus conditions.

Five altered cone sheets (GC181, GC261, GC262, GC384, and GC385) have relatively high whole-rock δ¹⁸O values (6.8–10.0‰) (Fig. 9; Table 1), which can be attributed to sub-solidus alteration of alkali feldspar by ambient meteoric water (δ¹⁸O ~−8‰) at (minimum) temperatures between ~127 and 96°C. This estimated temperature range is in accordance with petrographic observations, which show that these samples contain turbid alkali feldspars, as well as minerals indicative of low-temperature, retrograde alteration, such as clays (mainly chlorite–montmorillonite), and secondary Fe–Ti oxides (Table 1). It should be noted that the highest δ¹⁸O values occur in cone sheets from close to the central part of the swarm, consistent with enhanced retrograde alteration in this area (Fig. 10). Two cone sheets (GC257 and GC258) contain deuteric mineral phases (analcime, aegirine, and alkali-amphibole), turbid alkali feldspars, and subordinate phyllosilicate phases (chlorite–montmorillonite), and are characterized by ‘normal’ or only slightly elevated δ¹⁸O values (7.2 and 8.6‰, respectively). As in the extra-caldera phonolite dykes, the δ¹⁸O values of these rocks most probably reflect the combined effects of fractional crystallization, precipitation of ¹⁸O-enriched deuteric phases at high temperature (~300–500°C), and relatively minor low-temperature retrograde alteration.

The altered cone sheets have whole-rock δD values ranging from ~62 to −149‰, and the altered syenite samples have whole-rock δD values of ~9‰, 9‰, and ~14‰ (Table 1; Figs 9 and 11). In both sample suites, the δD values extend down to much lower values than those reported for most igneous hornblendes and biotites (~55 to −85‰; e.g. Taylor, 1968, 1974); and for the least altered cone sheet sample (GC249; δD = −48‰). The measured blank associated with the H₂O⁹ extraction method is extremely small, but highly negative (there is insufficient gas to measure the δD value accurately). It is therefore possible that the very negative δD values in the altered cone sheets and syenites (which contain <1 wt % H₂O⁹) represent a proportionally higher component from the blank. However, duplicate analysis of sample GC378 (see Table 1) indicates that the low δD values are reproducible. Low δD values of a similar or greater magnitude have been reported in hydrous minerals (amphiboles) from a number of other alkaline to peralkaline intrusive complexes, including Ilulissat, Greenland (~232‰; Marks et al., 2004), Red Wine Complex, Labrador (~160‰; Sheppard, 1986b), and Norra Karr, Sweden (~130 and ~150‰; Sheppard, 1986b). Proposed explanations for such low δD values in magmatic amphibole in these intrusive complexes include exchange with low δD meteoric–hydrothermal fluids (e.g. Nelve et al., 1994; Brandriss et al., 1995; Agemar et al., 1999), open-system magmatic degassing of water from the parental melt (e.g. Nabelek et al., 1983; Taylor et al., 1983), assimilation of organic sediments (e.g. Sheppard, 1986b), and interaction and re-equilibration of amphiboles with D-depleted fluids derived from late magmatic oxidation of internally generated CH₄ and/or H₂ (e.g. Marks et al., 2004). The altered cone sheets of the Téjeda Intrusive Complex preserve an overall trend of decreasing δD value with decreasing H₂O⁹ concentration (Fig. 11), suggesting that Rayleigh-type magmatic H₂O-exsolution was a major process contributing to the low whole-rock δD values in these samples (and probably also the syenites, although insufficient data are available to observe a trend). During this process, H₂O vapour exsolution from the crystallizing parental magma would have caused the residual melt, and any late-stage, hydrous phases crystallizing from this melt (e.g. analcime,
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Pattern of meteoric water circulation

The exposed cone sheets and syenite stocks of the Tejeda Intrusive Complex intrude ≥1000 m of ignimbrites and lava flows of the Fataga Group. At greater depth (1–3 km), ignimbrites of the Mogán Group, and underlying shield basalt lavas, form the country rocks for unexposed cone sheets and the associated shallow-level (2–3 km) Fataga magma system. The relatively porous and permeable extrusive rocks of the caldera fill, and the underlying, fractured basalt lavas, would have been highly susceptible to infiltration of down-going meteoric waters. The high heat flow generated by successive cone sheet intrusions would have promoted radial inflow of heated groundwaters towards the intrusive complex (see Larson & Taylor, 1986c).

This type of flow regime has been invoked for numerous shallow-level, relatively simple (i.e. single-stage and/or short-lived), intrusive complexes affected by meteoric-hydrothermal alteration (e.g. the intrusive complexes of Mull and Skye, NW Scotland), and is typically reflected in a characteristic ‘bull’s eye’ pattern of decreasing δ18O in the country rocks towards the intrusion, indicative of increasing fluid temperatures and/or effective water-rock ratios towards the heat source (e.g. Taylor & Forester, 1970; Forester & Taylor, 1976; Criss & Taylor, 1986). This ‘bull’s eye’ pattern is often accompanied by an outward decrease in δ18O from the centre to the margin of the intru-

sion, reflecting enhanced infiltration and circulation of low δ18O meteoric–hydrothermal fluids along the contact between the intrusion and its surrounding country rocks (e.g. Forester & Taylor, 1977; Farver & Giletti, 1989). The Tejeda Intrusive Complex, in contrast, shows no such systematic spatial variation in δ18O (Fig. 10). Schirnick et al. (1999) have shown that, apart from the dykes in the ALDZ, which are all part of the older generation cone sheet swarm (12.3–9.5 Ma), juxtaposed cone sheets of the younger generation have strikingly different ages, particularly within the AHDZ. The scattered distribution of cone sheet ages within the AHDZ (and supporting structural evidence) led Schirnick et al. (1999) to propose a model of cone sheet emplacement involving lateral expansion and contraction of a shallow, laccolith-like Fataga magma chamber system. Although the absence of a systematic δ18O-distance correlation may partly be a result of random, fracture-oriented fluid access to the cooling intru-

sive complex, it is more likely that it is a direct reflection of the highly variable spatial distribution of cone sheet ages. Multiple intrusion of dykes with variable diameters over a period of ~5 Myr (combined with the isotopic ef-

fects induced by uplift and evolving fluid isotopic composi-

tion) would have almost certainly precluded the generation of a systematic spatial δ18O pattern in the Tejeda Intrusive Complex, and would have overprinted any evidence of enhanced alteration of 18O-depletion that may have existed in the oldest intrusions at the margins of

alkali-amphibole) to become progressively depleted in D and H2O (see Nabek et al., 1983; Taylor et al., 1983; Taylor, 1986). However, the correlation between δD and H2O is relatively weak (r = 0.55), suggesting that any sys-

matic relationship between δD and H2O caused by magmatic degassing has been partially overprinted by retrograde hydrothermal alteration. Given that ΔDsmectite-water ranges from around −40 to −20% between 20 and 150°C (Yeh, 1980), low-temperature forma-

tion of smectitic clay minerals in equilibrium with local meteoric water (δD ~ −36%) may have resulted in a slight increase in whole-rock δD values and H2O+ concentrations in some samples, from initially very low `degassed' values. This is supported by the fact that the two most clay-rich samples (GC381, GC384) have among the highest δD values (−83 and −102%, respectively) and H2O+ concentrations (0.8 and 0.8 wt %, respectively) in the altered cone sheet sample suite (Table 1). However, given the overall water-poor nature of the altered rocks, it is likely that the effect of smectite formation on the whole-rock δD and H2O+ values was relatively minor compared with the effect of magmatic degassing. It is also worth noting that the cone sheets were intruded almost continuously (repose intervals of 5–10 kyr) over a relatively long period of time (≥5 Myr; Schirnick et al., 1999). Such sustained intrusive activity will have resulted in reheating or metamorphism of already solidified cone sheets, promoting the breakdown of any hydrous deuteritic or hydrothermal minerals and further water loss.

In contrast to the altered cone sheets and syenites, the unaltered cone sheet (GC249) and the extra-caldera dykes (GC284, GC285, and GC286) preserve relatively high whole-rock δD values (−48 to −81%) and H2O+ concentrations (0.9–2.2 wt %), suggesting that these samples crystallized from relatively undegassed magmas (Fig. 11). It is possible that these samples were rapidly quenched upon emplacement at relatively high temperature (≥500°C), and that any water released from the magma was trapped in hydrous deuteritic phases (e.g. analcime, alkali-amphibole) before being significantly depleted in deuterium. This is consistent with the finely crystalline, aphyric nature of these samples, and the presence of high temperature feldspar in GC249. One altered sample (GC258) has a low H2O+ concentration (0.5 wt %), similar to the rest of the altered cone sheets (0.2–0.8 wt % H2O+), but has an anomalously high δD value of ~62% (Fig. 11). Although volumetrically minor, petrographic and XRD results indicate that hydrous minerals are present in this sample (e.g. analcime, chlorite–montmorillonite). Thus, the anomalously high δD value of GC258 may reflect retrograde equilibration of these hydrous phases with present-day meteoric water (δD = −13%), without significant addition of H2O.
the cone sheet swarm. Such overprinting of isotopic compositions would not be expected for relatively short-lived plutonic complexes such as those of, for example, the British Tertiary Igneous Province, which were emplaced in less than 1 Myr (see Hamilton et al., 1998; Chambers et al., 2005; Troll et al., 2008).

Whereas no systematic trend in $\delta^{18}$O was observed across the Tejeda Intrusive Complex, the highest $\delta^{18}$O values occur in intrusions from the central part of the cone sheet swarm (Fig. 10), two of which contain significant quantities of chlorite–montmorillonite (GC181, GC184; Table 1). Schirnick et al. (1999) reported that syenite stocks were intruded into the central low-dyke density zone at a relatively late stage in the evolution of the intrusive complex (~9 Ma). This late syenite intrusion may have been accompanied by resurgent doming or uplift of the surrounding cone sheets and overlying formations (see Le Bas, 1971; Schirnick et al., 1999), thereby promoting enhanced infiltration of cool meteoric water and associated retrograde clay alteration at low temperature in the central part of the intrusive complex.

**Timing of alteration**

Pervasive epithermal alteration of marginal volcanic tuffs of approximately mid- to late-Mogán age (~43.5–13 Ma) in the Tejeda caldera has been attributed to the establishment of a large-scale, meteoric–hydrothermal system coinciding with the emplacement of the Fataga magma chamber between 13 and 12.5 Ma (e.g., Schmincke, 1998; Pérez Torrado et al., 2004; Donoghue et al., 2008). The presence of unaltered Fataga ignimbrites with an eruption age of 12.5 Ma (van den Bogard & Schmincke, 1998), unconformably overlying altered late Mogán tuffs, suggests that epithermal alteration preceded the main period of Fataga volcanism and emplacement of the Tejeda Intrusive Complex (which intrudes dominantly Fataga volcanic rocks). The meteoric–hydrothermal alteration associated with the Tejeda Intrusive Complex may represent a second pulse of hydrothermal activity, generated and sustained entirely by cone sheet and syenite intrusion, and temporally and spatially distinct from that affecting the marginal rocks of the Mogán-age Tejeda caldera. However, given the close temporal association between epithermal alteration and Fataga magma chamber emplacement, it is more likely that the hydrothermal alteration affecting the Tejeda Intrusive Complex represents inward-cooling or ‘shrinking’ of a single large-scale, long-lived hydrothermal system that once extended to the caldera margin during initial Fataga magma chamber emplacement (see Schmincke, 1998). The apparently unaltered Fataga ignimbrites at the margin of the Tejeda caldera may have simply ‘escaped’ hydrothermal fluid-circulation as the hydrothermal system infiltrated the cooling intrusive complex during and after Fataga volcanism. Schirnick et al. (1999) placed an upper limit of 9.5 Ma on hydrothermal activity associated with the intrusive complex, based on the presence of altered feldspars only in the older generation cone sheets at the margin of the complex, but not in younger generation cone sheets. However, the mineralogical and isotopic data presented in this study clearly indicate that both deuteric and meteoric hydrothermal activity were spatially pervasive, affecting both marginal and central intrusions, and are likely to have prevailed at least until the emplacement of the youngest dated cone sheet at 7.3 Ma (Schirnick et al., 1999).

**A MODEL FOR THE HYDROTHERMAL EVOLUTION OF THE TEJEDA INTRUSIVE COMPLEX**

The cone sheets and syenite stocks of the Miocene Tejeda Intrusive Complex (12.3–7.3 Ma) were emplaced at a shallow level (<2 km) into the intra-caldera volcanic deposits of the Tejeda caldera, in response to recurrent replenishment of the underlying Fataga magma chamber system (Schirnick et al., 1999) (Fig. 12). Cooling of the cone sheet magmas was accompanied by the expulsion of late magmatic, alkali-rich aqueous fluids, resulting in significant D- and H$_2$O-depletion in the parent magmas, and the crystallization of Na-bearing deuteric minerals (analcime, alkali-amphibole, and aegirine) at relatively high temperatures (~300–500°C). Almost continuous emplacement and degassing of the cone sheets over an ~5 Myr period resulted in the simultaneous formation of a zone of elevated temperatures in the surrounding volcanic deposits. This ‘heated zone’ promoted radial inflow of low $\delta^{18}$O meteoric groundwaters, forming an area of hydrothermal circulation in the outer aureole of the cooling intrusive complex. Uplift (including resurgent doming) accompanying cone sheet and syenite emplacement resulted in increased tensile stress and associated fracturing at a shallow level directly above the intrusive complex (see Komuro, 1987). This near-surface fracture system, together with the main ring fault and peripheral fracture systems of the Tejeda caldera (e.g., Troll et al., 2002), provided pathways for meteoric fluid entry and subsequent hydrothermal circulation. Upon solidification, the cone sheets and syenites were sufficiently cool to develop significant fracture permeability, allowing the surrounding meteoric–hydrothermal fluids to permeate the intrusive complex. Initial infiltration of these low $\delta^{18}$O meteoric fluids at temperatures of $\geq$150–300°C was accompanied by the development of patchy to pervasive turbidity in alkali feldspar, and significant $^{18}$O depletion in the rocks. Progressive infiltration of meteoric fluids into the cooling intrusive complex resulted in relatively minor low-temperature
alteration ($\leq 50^\circ$C) of magmatic and deuterite mineral phases to clay (chlorite–montmorillonite), decomposition of primary Fe–Ti oxides, and the precipitation of vein minerals (Mn-oxides, quartz), causing an overall increase in $\delta^{18}O$ values relative to 'normal' igneous compositions in some samples. Repeated intrusion of cone sheets with variable diameters over a period of $\sim 5$ Myr caused significant overprinting of isotopic values, and inhibited the formation of a systematic pattern of decreasing $\delta^{18}O$ from the centre to the margins of the intrusive complex. Resurgent doming associated with syenite emplacement allowed for greater infiltration of cool, down-going meteoric waters (and enhanced retrograde alteration) at the centre of the intrusive complex. A large volcanic edifice, similar to that inferred to overlie the Fuerteventura basal complexes (Javoy et al., 1986), may have existed above the Tejeda Intrusive Complex during the late Miocene, resulting in an increase in recharge altitude and a corresponding decrease in the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta D$ values of local meteoric water.

The Tejeda Intrusive Complex provides valuable insights into the sub-volcanic part of a large-scale caldera-hosted hydrothermal system, which persisted during the late Miocene (13–7 Ma) on Gran Canaria. In contrast to relatively short-lived or single-stage intrusions, which often record comparatively simple and systematic changes in mineralogical and isotopic composition, the hypabyssal rocks on Gran Canaria highlight the complex alteration textures and isotopic patterns produced in a relatively long-lived ($\sim 5$ Myr), multi-intrusive system, reflecting progressively evolving hydrothermal conditions on a million-year timescale.
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